

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/708,038	11/08/2000	Zaheer Khalfan	1084-7/MBE	8013		
7	7590 05/24/2002					
Mark B Eiser	Mark B Eisen			EXAMINER		
c/o Dimock Str	c/o Dimock Stratton Clarizio			NGUYEN, TUAN N		
20 Queen Stree	et West		NGU I EN,	IUAN N		
Suite 3202 Box 102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Toronto, ON	M5H3R3					
CANADA			3653			
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Y</b>		Application No.	Applicant(s)		7
Office Activ	on Summary	09/708 038	L_Kl	rallan	
		Examiner Tuan Nguye	I *	Art Unit 3653	
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wit	th the corresp	ondence addr	ess
Period for Reply	•				
THE MAILING DATE OF I			,		
- If the period for reply specifi be considered timely If NO period for reply is specific communication Failure to reply within the se	vailable under the provisions of 37 Com the mailing date of this communiced above is less than thirty (30) days differ above, the maximum statutory of the communication of the c	ation.  If a reply within the statu  If a reply will apply and will  If statute, cause the appli	expire SIX (6)	of thirty (30) d	ays will the mailing date of this
Status	ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on	3/5/0	2		
2a) This action is FINAL	<u>.</u>	ion is non-final		-	
3) Since this application	on is in condition for allowance e e with the practice under Ex pai	except for formal mat	ters, prosecu D. 11; 453 O	ution as to th .G. 213.	e merits is
Disposition of Claims	<del>-</del>				
	1-22				
4a) Of the above, cla	im(s)	·	is/a	re withdrawr	from consideratio
5) Claim(s)				is/are allow	, od
6) Claim(s)	1-6,8-13 and	15 - 21		is/are rejec	ted.
7) Claim(s)	1-6, 8-13 and 7, 14 and	1 22		is/are objec	cted to.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Application Papers		·			,
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed	onis/are	e objected to by the E	Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawi	ng correction filed on	is: aD	approved b	D disappro	ved.
12) The oath or declarat	tion is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 13) Acknowledgement is a) All b) Some*	s made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d	<b>J</b> ).	
1. Certified copies	s of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	s of the priority documents have		plication No.		
аррисат	ertified copies of the priority do ion from the International Burea led Office action for a list of the	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		is National S	tage
	s made of a claim for domestic				
				•	
Attachment(s)					
5) Notice of References Cited (PTC		8]			
7) Information Disclosure Statemen		(0) Other:	ен аррисатюв (Р1	10-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/708,038 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

## DETAILED ACTION

1. After further reconsideration, a new ground of rejection will be applied in this Office action.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Khalfan (newly cited).

Shields et al. disclose a detection device and a method for differentiating between a paper product 28 containing less than a selected amount of groundwood contaminants and a material containing more than the selected amount of groundwood contaminants. Note that the groundwood contaminants are inherently the amount of lignin which causes the paper product having different colors other than white color. The device and method comprise a light source 20 having an ultraviolet component (400 nm is within ultraviolet range) positioning to emit light to strike the material; a detector 22 for detecting ultraviolet light and generating an electrical signal proportional to an intensity of detected ultraviolet light; an optical filter 38,40 and 42 to

Application/Control Number: 09/708,038 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

eliminate components of diffusely reflected light outside of the ultraviolet range; an instrument 24 for measuring a level of the electrical signal; and a sorting means 26 and Fig. 4 which includes a conveyor and an air nozzle.

Claims 7, 14 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but 4. would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8-13 and 15-21 have been considered 5. but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen 6. at telephone number (703) 308-3664.

TUAN N. NGUYEN
FRIMARY EXAMINED

5/20/02

tnn,

May 20, 2002.